Academic Year

Department/Division

Communication Studies (formerly Speech)

2012-2013

Annual report submitted to the Program Review Committee on _____November 12, 2012.

Marityn Fry and Ken Leighton

Signature of Department Chair/Lead Faculty Member

Signature of Dean/Director

A. Data and Analysis

a. Program Data

	3 Years Prior	2 Years Prior	1 Year Prior
FTES	51	99	64
FTEF	28.5	26.3	29
WSCH/FTES	468	432	475
Number of Full-Time Instructors	1	1	1
Fill Rate	80.3	76.7	85.7
Success Rate	87.8	81.1	82.3
Persistence	0	2	2
Retention	93.5	92.5	90.2

Program Data Analysis

The data show that retention is strong and that offering fewer courses boosted the fill rate.

	Additions	Revisions	Suspensions	Retirements	Current Total
					במו בוור וסנם
Courses	0	4	0	0	7
Certificates 18 units or greater	0	0	0	0	
					•
Certificates less than 18 units	0	0	0	U	
)	-
Degrees	0	0	0	0	0
					•

Academic Year

Department/Division

Communication Studies (formerly Speech)

2012-2013

Curriculum Data Analysis

Currently, there are too few courses to offer a Communication Studies AA-T. To create Communication Studies AA-T is a departmental priority.

c. Student Learning Outcomes Data

23	100%	
Total number of sections	Percentage of sections reporting on SLOs	

Department Discussions Regarding SLOs ("Closing the Loop")

We look forward to discussing this data at our next Discipline mtg. Our aim is to have all courses meet the standard of "Exemplary" as defined in Coastline's Academic Quality Rubric.

d. Progress on 5-year Goals from most recent Program Review.

Constant	Comments	Joshua Levenshus. Started Fall 2012.		ins is dilgoing and Torever will be.					
Abandoned									
Not	מפו נפור								_
Partially Complete									
Complete		×	o -	going)		×		
Goal	1 Hire a f/t Comm Ctudios instant	JOION STRAIGHT STRAIGHT INSTRUCTOR	Encourage faculty to use SLO	progress reports for continuous	improvement.	2 Crosto 2 Camer Ct. 1: 5	S. Create a Collim. Studies Dept. Web	site.	

Communication Studies (formerly Speech) Department/Division Academic Year

de Villamina cadaes (1011) lel 14 Sp	2012-2013
1	

We are delighted that all 5-year goals for Communication Studies have been completed.

The hiring of Joshua Levenshus was a wonderful development for the Communication Studies Department. Joshua has already taken a new course to the Curriculum Committee, which has been approved, and he is revising another course for possible hybrid and/or online delivery.

Department Chairs Marilyn Fry and Ken Leighton wish to thank President Adrian for prioritizing the Communication Studies position.

Finally, when the budget situation improves, we'll be able to develop more new courses and offer a Communication Studies AA-T.

Analysis of Progress on 5-year Goals

B. Action Plan and Resource Re

	Institutional	Institutional	or Dasen of	uest baseu on Annual Data			
Action	planning goals*	How action will improve student learning	Type of Resource	Resource needs, if any	Department priority**	Approximate	Potential
No requests at this						cost	Funding Source
time.			Equipment				
			Facilities				
			Personnel				
			Software				
			Supplies	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -			
			Technology				
			Training				
			Other				

*Reference specific sections of College Education Master Plan, Strategic Initiatives, 5-year Program Review Goals, Accreditation Recommendations, SLO/SAO evaluation and assessment, College Mission, or other relevant planning documents.

Academic Year

Department/Division

Communication Studies (formerly Speech)

2012-2013

Department/Division Academic Year

English

2012-2013

Annual report submitted to the Program Review Committee on November 12, 2012.

Marilyn Fry and Ken Leighton

Signature of Department Chair/Lead Faculty Member

Signature of Dean/Director

A. Data and Analysis

a. Program Data

	3 Years Prior	2 Years Prior	1 Year Prior
FTES	288	267	295
FTEF	27.8	21.6	25.2
WSCH/FTES	457	354	413
Number of Full-Time Instructors	2	2	1
Fill Rate	73.6	48.4	58.9
Success Rate	8.69	68.1	99
Persistence	22	25	21
Retention	87	89.4	89.7

Program Data Analysis

The data reveal that the English Department is stable; there do not appear to be any wild fluctuations from year to year.

	Additions	Revisions	Suspensions	Retirements Current Total	Current Total
Courses	2	17	2	0	56
Certificates 18 units or greater	0	0	0	0	0
Certificates less than 18 units	0	0	0	0	0
Degrees	1	0	0	0	1
-0-1					

Academic Year	

Department/Division

2012-2013

English

Curriculum Data Analysis

We hope to restore literature courses that have not been offered in the last few years to the class schedule.

c. Student Learning Outcomes Data

otal number of sections	1/4
ercentage of sections reporting on SLOs	%86

Department Discussions Regarding SLOs ("Closing the Loop")

We look forward to discussing this data at our next Discipline mtg. Our goal, of course, is to have 100% reporting and continuous course improvement. Our aim is to have all courses, regardless of delivery method, meet the standard of "Exemplary" as defined in Coastline's Academic Quality Rubric.

d. Progress on 5-year Goals from most recent Program Review.

			1		
Goal	Complete	Partially Complete	Started	Abandoned	Comments
1. Develop a plan to assess program-	×	=======================================	=		
level SLOS for the English major. 2. Hire a f/t English instructor.	×				Scott Davis. Started Fall 2012.
Implement online tutoring for Engl. comp. students.		×			Online tutoring is available, and some Office Considering Strong tutors. Danny Pittaway is developing additional online tutoring options
					rapidly.
4. Expand Independent Study offerings			×		Will commence when the budget allows.
for students w/o internet access.					and and allower
5. Expand literature offerings by 5			×		Will commence when the bunger anows.

Department/Uivision
Academic Year

English	2012-2013

6. Develop poetry and screenwriting			×	Will commence when the budget anows: can care in developing these courses.
7. Establish reading test as part of the		×		We hope to have this in place by spring 2013.
EPT.				This is angeling and forever will be.
8. Encourage faculty to use SLO	-hO			
progress reports for continuous	going			
improvement.				
9. Create an English Dept. Web site.	×	*		

We are pleased with the progress we've made on our 5-year goals so far.

The hiring of Scott Davis was huge for the English Department. Scott has fantastic energy, and he is already working with the Basic Skills

English faculty to discover ways to improve course outcomes.

Department Chairs Marilyn Fry and Ken Leighton wish to thank President Adrian for prioritizing the English position.

Finally, when the budget situation improves, we'll be able to offer again literature and creative writing courses and develop new ones to take the English Department to new heights.

Analysis of Progress on 5-year Goals

	English	2012-2013
Department/Division		Academic Year

B. Action Plan and Resource Request Based on Annual Data

Action	Institutional planning goals*	How action will improve student learning	Type of Resource	Resource needs, if any	Department priority**	Approximate	Potential Finding Source
No requests at this							2000
time.			Equipment				-
			Facilities				
			Personnel		= 1		
			Software				
			Supplies				
		77	Technology				
			Training				
#Document	:		Other			_	

*Reference specific sections of College Education Master Plan, Strategic Initiatives, 5-year Program Review Goals, Accreditation Recommendations, SLO/SAO evaluation and assessment, College Mission, or other relevant planning documents.

Academic Year

Department/Division

2012-2013

Humanities

Annual report submitted to the Program Review Committee on _____November 12, 2012.

Marilyn Fry and Ken Leighton

Signature of Department Chair/Lead Faculty Member

Signature of Dean/Director

A. Data and Analysis

a. Program Data

	3 Years Prior	2 Years Prior	1 Year Prior
FTES	81	76	06
FTEF	55.9	50.5	58
WSCH/FTES	917	828	952
Number of Full-Time Instructors	0	0	0
Fill Rate	85.3	81	93.5
Success Rate	71.9	69.5	64.5
Persistence	1	2	2
Retention	90.1	93.8	91.8

Program Data Analysis

The data show that the Humanities Discipline is stable and productive.

	Additions	Revisions	Suspensions	Retirements Current Total	Current Total
Courses	0	0	0	0	3
Certificates 18 units or greater	0	0	0	0	0
Certificates less than 18 units	0	0	0	0	0
Degrees	0	0	0	0	0

Department/Division
Academic Year

Humanities 2012-2013

Curriculum Data Analysis

Currently, there are too few courses to offer a Humanities AA-T. This may be something to address in the future.

c. Student Learning Outcomes Data

Department Discussions Regarding SLOs ("Closing the Loop")

We look forward to discussing this data at our next Discipline mtg. Our aim is to have all courses meet the standard of "Exemplary" as defined in Coastline's Academic Quality Rubric.

d. Progress on 5-year Goals from most recent Program Review.

Comments	This is ongoing and forever will be	THE STATE OF THE POLICY OF THE			
Abandoned					
Not Started					
Partially Complete					
Complete Complete Si	ő		going		
Goal	 Encourage faculty to use SLO 	Drograce rangets for continuous	היספירים וכן כי וכלם וכבי פסיון	improvement.	

Humanities	2012-2013
	Academic Year

Humanities	2012-2013
Department/Division	Academic Year

The Humanities Department is small with no full-time faculty. Therefore, there were no ambitious 5-year goals established for this department in the last Program Review.

Perhaps, when the budget situation improves, we'll be able to consider developing more courses and offering a Humanities AA-T.

Analysis of Progress on 5-year Goals

B. Action Plan and Resource Request Based on Annual Data

Action	Institutional planning goals*	How action will improve student learning	Type of Resource	Resource needs, if any	Department priority**	Approximate cost	Potential Funding Source
No requests at this time.			Equipment		ŀ		
			Facilities				
			Personnel				
			Software				
			Supplies				
			Technology				
			Training				
			Other				
1							

*Reference specific sections of College Education Master Plan, Strategic Initiatives, 5-year Program Review Goals, Accreditation Recommendations, SLO/SAO evaluation and assessment, College Mission, or other relevant planning documents.

Humanities 2012-2013

Academic Year

Department/Division

Academic Year

Department/Division

Mass Communications

2012-2013

Marilyn Fry and Ken Leighton

Signature of Department Chair/Lead Faculty Member

Signature of Dean/Director

A. Data and Analysis

a. Program Data

	3 Years Prior	2 Years Prior	1 Year Prior
FTES	84	68	22
FTEF	92.8	74.0	63.7
WSCH/FTES	1522	1214	1,045
Number of Full-Time Instructors	0	0	0
Fill Rate	78.4	88.1	92.9
Success Rate	61.6	58	58.8
Persistence	0	1	N/A
Retention	200.7	90.6	84.3

Program Data Analysis

The above data may be incorrect. The Department changed its name from Communications to Mass Communications in July, 2011. However, no data are presented for Communications. We are assuming that perhaps the information listed for Communication Studies is actually the data for Communications for 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012 since, during this three-year period, Coastline did not have a Department named "Communication Studies." We have used the data listed for Mass Communications for 2011/2012.

	Additions	Revisions	Suspensions	Retirements	Current Total
Courses	0	3	1	0	3
Certificates 18 units or greater	0	0	0	0	0
Certificates less than 18 units	0	0	0	0	0

lege Academic Year 2012-2013

Department/Division

Mass Communications

0 0	0
	0 0

Academic Year

Department/Division

Mass Communications 2012-2013

Curriculum Data Analysis

All three Mass Communications courses are part of Coastline's Communications Area of Emphasis. Some of these courses might be included in the Communication Studies AA-T.

c. Student Learning Outcomes Data

5	100%	
Total number of sections	Percentage of sections reporting on SLOs	

Department Discussions Regarding SLOs ("Closing the Loop")

We look forward to discussing this data at our next Discipline mtg. Our aim is to have all courses meet the standard of "Exemplary" as defined in Coastline's Academic Quality Rubric.

d. Progress on 5-year Goals from most recent Program Review.

Comments	This is ongoing and forever will be.	
Abandoned		
Not Started		
Partially Complete		
Complete	On- going	
Goal	Encourage faculty to use SLO progress reports for continuous	improvement.

The Mass Communications Department is small with no full-time faculty. Therefore, there were no ambitious 5-year goals established for this department in the last Program Review.

Mass Communications Department/Division Academic Year

2012-2013

_		Jaca on minual Dala	17 BOOM 2	milinai Dala				
	Institutional planning goals*	How action will improve student learning	Type of Resource	Resource needs, if any	Department priority**	Approximate	Potential	
No requests at this time.			Equipment			1500	Funding Source	- $-$
			Facilities					$\overline{}$
			Personnel					
			Software					
			Supplies					
			Technology					
			Training					
	= 1 =		Other					

*Reference specific sections of College Education Master Plan, Strategic Initiatives, 5-year Program Review Goals, Accreditation Recommendations, SLO/SAO evaluation and assessment, College Mission, or other relevant planning documents.

Department/Division	Mass Communications
Academic Year	2012-2013

Annual report submitted to the Program Review Committee on	November 12, 2012.
Marilyn Fry and Ken Leighton	
Signature of Department Chair/Lead Faculty Member	Signature of Dean/Director

A. Data and Analysis

a. Program Data

	3 Years Prior	2 Years Prior	1 Year Prior
FTES	84	89	22
FTEF	92.8	74.0	63.7
WSCH/FTES	1522	1214	1,045
Number of Full-Time Instructors	0	0	0
Fill Rate	78.4	88.1	92.9
Success Rate	61.6	58	58.8
Persistence	0	1	N/A
Retention	90.7	90.6	84.3

Program Data Analysis

The above data may be incorrect. The Department changed its name from Communications to Mass Communications in July, 2011. However, no data are presented for Communications. We are assuming that perhaps the information listed for Communication Studies is actually the data for Communications for 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012 since, during this three-year period, Coastline did not have a Department named "Communication Studies." We have used the data listed for Mass Communications for 2011/2012.

	Additions	Revisions	Suspensions	Retirements	Current
Courses	0	3	1	0	3
Certificates 18 units or	0	0	0	0	0
Certificates less than 18	0	0	0	0	0

Department/Division Mass Communications

Academic Year 2012-2013

Degrees	0	0	0	0	0

Department/Division	Mass Communications
Academic Year	2012-2013

Curriculum Data Analysis

All three Mass Communications courses are part of Coastline's Communications Area of Emphasis. Some of these courses might be included in the Communication Studies AA-T.

c. Student Learning Outcomes Data

Total number of sections	5
Percentage of sections reporting on SLOs	100%

Department Discussions Regarding SLOs ("Closing the Loop")

We look forward to discussing this data at our next Discipline mtg. Our aim is to have all courses meet the standard of "Exemplary" as defined in Coastline's Academic Quality Rubric.

d. Progress on 5-year Goals from most recent Program Review.

Goal	Complete	Partially Complete	Not Started	Abandoned	Comments
 Encourage faculty to use SLO 	On-				This is ongoing and forever will be.
progress reports for continuous	going				
improvement.					

Analysis of Progress on 5-year Goals

The Mass Communications Department is small with no full-time faculty. Therefore, there were no ambitious 5-year goals established for this department in the last Program Review.

Department/Division	Mass Communications
Academic Year	2012-2013

B. Action Plan and Resource Request Based on Annual Data

Action	Institutional planning goals*	How action will improve student learning	Type of Resource	Resource needs, if any	Department priority**	Approximate cost	Potential Funding Source
No requests at this time.			Equipment				
			Facilities				
			Personnel				
			Software				
			Supplies				
			Technology				
			Training				
			Other				

^{*}Reference specific sections of College Education Master Plan, Strategic Initiatives, 5-year Program Review Goals, Accreditation Recommendations, SLO/SAO evaluation and assessment, College Mission, or other relevant planning documents.

^{**}Prioritize the program's resource needs with 1 being the most important and subsequent numbers being less urgent.